Long-Term Results of Intraoral Excision for Submandibular Mixed Tumors
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Background and Objectives  Generally, the benign mixed tumors of the submandibular gland are successfully removed via transcervical approach. Recently, however, an alternative to the standard transcervical approach, such as an intraoral approach, has been reported. The surgical results of intraoral excisions for submandibular mixed tumors are discussed here.

Subjects and Method  A retrospective review was carried out for 24 patients with submandibular mixed tumors who were past 3 years of follow-up. Surgical morbidities and benefits were studied using these data.

Results  All patients successfully received an excision of the submandibular gland with tumor via an intraoral approach. Early postoperative complications of temporary lingual sensory paralysis were developed in 75% of patients, followed by 54% of patients with temporary limitation of tongue movement. In contrast, there were no permanent paresis. Late complications were developed in two cases of mild deviation of tongue due to scar contracture on the floor of mouth, whereas two cases of tumor recurrence and one case of post-gustatory sweating syndrome were observed after surgery.

Conclusion  This approach might be safe, if used with proper expertise, for the treatment of submandibular mixed tumors. The main advantages of this approach are that no external scars nor permanent injury are incurred to the related nerves. However, disadvantages are temporary lingual paresis and temporary limitation of tongue movement. Unfortunately, there were two cases showing recurrence after surgery and thus required more follow-up.
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Introduction

Benign tumors of the submandibular gland (SMG) are common tumors of the head and neck region, and the pleomorphic adenoma is the most common tumor. Patients usually present with a painless and mobile mass without any other associated symptoms.1) The pleomorphic adenoma represents 60% to 70% of all neoplasm of the major salivary gland and comprises approximately 65% of tumors of the parotid and 57% of tumors of the SMG.2) This tumor should be treated primarily by total removal of the tumor and the involved salivary gland, and shows a very low recurrence rate after surgery. However, when the tumor is incompletely removed, the chance of having recurrence stands about 10%.3) Generally, the benign mixed tumors of the SMG are
removed through transcervically without any difficulty. However, this approach has a few complications, such as a neck scar and neurological injuries to the marginal mandibular, lingual and hypoglossal nerves. An alternative approach to the SMG is through an intraoral approach reported by Hong and Kim recently. They suggested that the benign mixed tumor seems to be not severely adhered to the surrounding tissue, and all cases of benign tumors could dissected easily and removed with the SMG. However, in the chronically inflamed disorders of the SMG, the intraoral dissection of the gland is difficult due to the severe adhesion to the surrounding tissue and may need to change to the transcervical approach. Hong and Yang reported 77 cases of intraoral excision of the benign submandibular disorders, such as chronic sialoadenitis, deep stones and mixed tumors. They reported that the major advantages of this approach are the avoidance of an external scar and of injury to the mandibular branch of the facial nerve or to the hypoglossal nerve. Most patients treated with intraoral approach complained of neurological problems of lingual nerve, but temporarily and resolved within at least 2 months after surgery. Kauffman, et al. also reported 9 cases of intraoral excision for the benign submandibular disorders. They suggested that the transoral approach has been used effectively and without complication in removing benign submandibular glands. Hong and Yang reported 12 cases of the pleomorphic adenoma of the SMG. They removed the SMG successfully and suggested that this approach might be extended to the excision of the benign submandibular tumors. Especially, this approach is beneficial to young women because of the avoidance of an external scar and of nerve injury. In this study we treated 24 cases of submandibular mixed tumors via an intraoral approach. The surgical technique and postoperative morbidity are discussed.

**Subjects and Method**

For 24 patients of SMG mixed tumors, postoperative complications and long-term morbidity were reviewed over the past 20 years. All tumors were surgically removed via an intraoral approach after proper evaluation, such as fine needle aspiration cytology and computerized tomography (Fig. 1A). We obtained proper consent from the patients in keeping with the mandate of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study’s aim, methods and all potential risks and benefits to each patient were explained as part of the consent process. Each subject was informed of their right to abstain from participation in the study. No potential subjects were found to be legally incompetent. We reviewed an Institutional Review Board ap-

---

**Fig. 1.** CT image and operative view of intraoral excision for submandibular mixed tumor. CT image of the neck shows a homogenous hypodense mass in the submandibular gland (A). The hypoglossal and lingual nerves after removal of sublingual gland (B). Exposed submandibular gland after removal of sublingual gland (B). Exposed submandibular gland (C). An excised submandibular gland with tumor (D).
Temporary lingual nerve injury, such as paresthesia of the tongue in 18 cases (75%), resolved within 3–4 weeks in all cases; a mild limited movement of the tongue in the 13 cases (54%), resolved within 4–6 weeks except 2 cases, which were left in tolerable states. There were no wound bleeding and abscess formation after surgery. The injuries of the hypoglos-sal or marginal mandibular nerves were not noted at all. Patients were satisfied of the circumvention of an external scar.

All patients were followed up for the recurrence of the tumor at least more than 3 years to 20 years (mean period: 8.7 years). There were 2 cases of tumor recurrences after surgery. A 23-year-old female patient showed a mass on the floor of the mouth. On past history, she had received SMG tumor removal intraorally 9 years ago at our clinic. But tumor had re-curred on the floor of mouth at 16 months after 1st surgery. By reviewing operation record of this patient, the recurred tumor was removed easily with simple excision. However, she complained of mass on the floor of mouth again and we suspected tumor recurrence. CT image showed well circum-scribed hypodense mass on the floor of mouth (Fig. 2A). The floor of mouth showed protruded and smooth surfaced mass (Fig. 2B). The mass was successfully removed intraorally and not recurred until now (Fig. 2C). Pathologically, a mass was confirmed as pleomorphic adenoma. A 45-year-old female patient showed a mass just beneath the neck skin on the SMG area 2 years after the removal of SMG tumor intraorally (Fig. 3B). We diagnosed as recurrence of tumor on the bed of SMG by reviewing CT images (Fig. 3A). The mass was suc-cessfully removed transcervically and not recurred following 9 years (Fig. 3C). Pathologically, a mass was also confirmed as pleomorphic adenoma. By reviewing the operation records, 2 patients which had recurrence had tumor rupture during the surgery due to large tumor size and relatively narrow surgical field. One patient developed Frey’s syndrome 15 months after the operation. A 36-year-old man complained of post-gus-tatory sweating and flushing over an area of left submandib-

**Results**

All patients received an excision of the SMG with the tumor, via the intraoral approach. There were no conversion to transcervical approach during the removal of the tumors intraorally. 16 patients (67%) were female and 8 were males (33%); the male to female ratio was 1:1.5. The age of the patients ranged between 15 and 47 years, with a mean age of 31.5 years. The final diagnosis of tumors were based on the pathological findings by the pathologist. As in Table 1, postoperative complications were observed in most of the patients as follows: a temporary lingual nerve injury, such as paresthesia of the
ular skin after meal. We confirmed as Frey’s syndrome by Minor’s starch-iodine test. Fortunately, submandibular gustatory sweating was not severe, so active treatment was not required.

**Discussion**

The benign mixed tumors of the submandibular gland are usually identified as painless masses and presents for many years. They show little or no evidence of rapid growth, but over a long time period they might become quite large in size. Generally, there is a consensus that fine needle aspiration cytology is of value in determining the management of SMG neoplasms. Although the exact histological diagnosis is not always obtained, discrimination between benign and malignant processes is achieved with an accuracy of approximately 90%. Mostly the diagnosis could be made by postoperative pathological study. On the surgical approach for
the removal of the SMG mixed tumor, the transcervical approach is widely accepted and relatively simple. However, the transcervical procedure has frequently been associated with neurological complications, including the marginal mandibular nerve, lingual and hypoglossal nerves.\textsuperscript{7,8} Recently, to improve cosmetic results, minimally invasive endoscopic and endo-robotic methods of submandibular gland resection through various routes have been assessed in experimental and clinical studies.\textsuperscript{9,10} An operation time of endoscopic surgery, however, is significantly longer than that of other procedures. Alternative surgical approaches have been developed to avoid potential neurological risks and visible scarring in the upper neck.\textsuperscript{9-12} Hong and Kim\textsuperscript{9} reported a new surgical approach for intraoral removal of benign submandibular disorders and suggested that the intraoral approach could be extended as an alternative to the transcervical approach. In terms of neurological complications, an injury of the mandibular branch of the facial nerve is the most common complication associated with the transcervical approach. Erbek, et al.\textsuperscript{13} reported an 17.8% incidence of damage to the marginal mandibular nerve, 2.2% of which was permanent. It usually consists of a temporary paralysis due to a compression and/or stretching injury, which can resolve spontaneously within a period of 3 months. However, in the intraoral approach the marginal mandibular nerve is not exposed during dissection and there is no possibility of facial nerve injury. This is also a major distinct advantage of the intraoral approach. In the transcervical approach, the lingual nerve should always be identified. Lesions associated with this nerve are not common and if they do occur are temporary. A 4.4% incidence of permanent neurological deficit was described by Springborg and Møller.\textsuperscript{14} while Turco, et al.\textsuperscript{15} reported alterations of lingual sensitivity in 6% of cases. They reported that 12% of the patients in their study presented neurological sequelae, but only 4.8% of these were permanent. This incidence would have been higher if systematic examination had been carried out. However, in the intraoral approach, the lingual nerve is always compressed and/or stretched during traction of the floor of mouth, and the neurological problem of lingual nerve injury was observed in most patients temporarily. Most patients showed temporary injury lasting 2 to 3 weeks along the side of the tongue. Two patients complained of a mild reduction in sensation of the tongue lasting 3 months after surgery, but resolved by long term follow-up. The hypoglossal nerve is a critical structure which should be identified at all times in the transcervical and intraoral approach. The incidence of this nerve injury is rare, about 2.2%, in the transcervical approach,\textsuperscript{13} but not observed at all in the intraoral approach.

We have done careful follow up for patients who received intraoral approach since it’s a new approach and the recurrence rate is not reported yet. At first year, we follow up the patients at postoperative 2 month, 6 month and then once a year. Unfortunately, there were 2 cases of tumor recurrence after surgery in this report. To prevent a recurrence of tumor during surgery, it’s necessary to remove the tumor and SMG involved widely with a margin of normal tissue. A mixed tumor may have a pseudocapsule with pseudopods projecting away from it.\textsuperscript{21} As we reviewed the pathologic results, the recurrences might be caused by tumor contamination due to a narrow surgical field in patients which had large tumors. As such, the proper exposure of surgical field is necessary and the tumor capsule should not be grasped during dissection. However, we think these problems may be reduced if surgeon’s experience of this approach increases. Regarding aesthetic concerns, the transcervical approach may induce a scar problem, especially in young women or keloid characters. In the intraoral approach the avoidance of external scarring is a major advantage, especially in young patients or keloid characters.

The auriculo-temporal or Frey’s syndrome is recurrent episodes of facial sweating and flushing which are localized to the distribution of the auriculo-temporal nerve in response to food ingestion.\textsuperscript{15,16} The theory is an aberrant regeneration of the sectioned parasympathetic fibers of parotid gland to the vessels and sweat glands of the overlying skin. This gustatory sweating is most commonly reported following injury or surgery of parotid gland. Gustatory sweating also reported to occur following SMG removal or external auditory canal surgery.\textsuperscript{17} A diagnosis of the Frey’s syndrome following SMG removal was confirmed by Minor’s starch-iodine test.

We have removed sublingual gland in all cases in order to improve surgical view. Recently, our skills in intraoral approach has improved so we are not removing the sublingual gland. However, patient reviewed in this study has all been treated at least 3 years from now. In the future, we are planning to compare the morbidity of patients which has sacrificed the sublingual gland (SLG) with patients which has preserved SLG in intraoral approach. All the patients in this study didn’t have symptoms related to oral dryness after the surgery.

In conclusion, intraoral approach has tolerable postoperative symptoms with no neck scar.
Although many patients temporarily complained of neurological problems of the lingual nerve and limitation of tongue, they were completely resolved shortly after surgery. However, by the result of long-term follow-up, 2 patients showed tumor recurrence and 2 cases with mild deviation of tongue due to scar contracture after surgery. The main advantages of this approach are no external scar and no permanent injury to the related nerves. Unfortunately, 2 cases showed a recurrence after surgery and required longer term follow-up.

However, we think these problems may be reduced if surgeon’s experience of this approach increases.
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