https://doi.org/10.3342/kjorl-hns.2022.00500

Association Between Metabolic Syndrome and Incidental Paranasal Sinusitis: A Cross-Sectional Cohort Study of Kangbuk Samsung Health Care Center

In Buhm Lee^{1*}, Tae Hwan Kim^{1*}, Mi Yeon Lee², Jung Yup Lee¹, Kyung Chul Lee¹, Sung Min Jin¹, and Sang Hyuk Lee¹

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul; and

²Division of Biostatistics, Department of R&D Management, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

우연성 부비동염과 대사증후군 간의 상관 관계: 강북삼성병원 건강검진센터 자료를 바탕으로 한 단면 코호트 연구

이인범 1* ·김태환 1* ·이미연 2 ·이정엽 1 ·이경철 1 ·진성민 1 ·이상혁 1

¹성균관대학교 의과대학 강북삼성병원 이비인후과학교실, ²성균관대학교 의과대학 강북삼성병원 연구지원팀

Background and Objectives Metabolic syndrome is a medical problem featured by the coexistence of several risk factors such as, heart disease, stroke, and diabetes. The association between metabolic syndrome and incidental paranasal sinusitis has not been definitely proved yet. This study was established to prove if metabolic syndrome might be related to incidental paranasal sinusitis.

Subjects and Method Of 5682 patients who had undergone brain MRI for regular checkups between 2011 and 2018 at Kangbuk Samsung Health Care Center, we analyzed 2882 cases with normal sinus and incidental paranasal sinusitis that had been deciphered by specialized radiologists. Data of various components of metabolic syndrome were also used. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to calculate the odds ratio (OR) of having incidental paranasal sinusitis between subjects with metabolic syndrome components and those without.

Results Of 2882 patients aged over 18 years (mean age, 51.8 ± 9.47 years; 55.4% male), 353 incidental paranasal sinusitis subjects (232 male with mean age of 51.39 ± 9.27 years and 121 female with mean age of 52.43 ± 9.69 years) were identified. Metabolic syndrome was identified in 554 patients. The adjusted OR of having incidental paranasal sinusitis was 3.03 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.58-5.83) in female with metabolic syndrome. In female, the adjusted OR of having incidental paranasal sinusitis was 2.10 (95% CI: 1.20-3.67) in those with low high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (<50 mg/dL) and 1.83 (95% CI: 1.06-3.16) in those with higher body mass index (BMI) (\geq 25 kg/m²).

Conclusion Results of this study suggest that female with metabolic syndrome, low HDL cholesterol, and high BMI have higher risks for incidental paranasal sinusitis.

Korean J Otorhinolaryngol-Head Neck Surg 2022;65(10):602-9

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

June 11, 2022

July 12, 2022

Department of Otorhinolaryngology-

Accepted July 13, 2022

Sang Hyuk Lee, MD, PhD

Head and Neck Surgery,

Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,

29 Saemunan-ro, Jongno-gu,

E-mail entlsh@hanmail.net

Seoul 03181 Korea

Tel +82-2-2001-2264

Fax +82-2-2001-2273

Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine,

Address for correspondence

Received

Revised

Keywords Body mass index; Cholesterol, HDL; Metabolic syndrome; Sinusitis.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Incidental paranasal sinusitis has been increasingly reported because of the diagnostic use of brain MRI for head and neck disease is becoming more frequent.^{1,2)} It might be expected that if incidental paranasal sinusitis detected earlier, the faster the management could be taken. However, clinical causes of incidental sinusitis remain unknown.³⁻⁷⁾ Asymptomatic incidental sinusitis patients have been mostly regarded as nonsignificant cases.³⁾ Several recent studies have revealed that diabetes and body mass index (BMI) are associated with incidental sinusitis.^{8,9)} It has also been shown that hypertension is associated with incidental sinusitis in female.¹⁰⁾

Metabolic syndrome is a group of components resulting from overnutrition, sedentary modern lifestyle, and excessive adiposity.¹¹⁾ The prevalence rate of metabolic syndrome in Korea has increased continuously from 24.9% in 1998 to 31.3% in 2007.^{12,13)} It has become to the fore in the modern society because metabolic syndrome is well known to increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases and type 2 diabetes.^{11,12)} Metabolic syndrome is diagnosed when there are three positive results for the following five criteria: high waist circumference, high blood pressure (BP), high triglyceride (TG), high fasting glucose, and low high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol.^{12,14)}

As described earlier, diabetes, BMI, and hypertension as components of metabolic syndrome criteria all have been reported to be associated with incidental paranasal sinusitis. However, the association between metabolic syndrome and incidental paranasal sinusitis has not been definitely proved yet. Thus, the purpose of this study was to clarify if metabolic syndrome might be related to incidental paranasal sinusitis.

Subjects and Methods

Study designation and study participants

This was a cross-sectional cohort study analyzing data obtained from regular health checkups performed at Kangbuk Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea to determine the association between metabolic syndrome and incidental paranasal sinusitis. Of a total of 521848 patients with regular health checkups between 2011 and 2018 at Kangbuk Samsung Health Care Center, 5682 patients had undergone brain MRI. Of these 5682 patient, 2882 cases with normal sinus and paranasal sinusitis deciphered by specialized radiologists were analyzed. Data for components of metabolic syndrome were also used. All the patient's data were obtained by internationally standardized questionnaire survey and body compositions such as height and weight were measured by trained nurses with the participants wearing a lightweight hospital gown and no shoes. Blood sampling was done by highly trained nurses and clinical laboratory scientists with over 8 hours fasting state. This study had some strengths, in terms of its large sample size, cohort design, detailed and standardized clinical and laboratory data. This study was reviewed and acknowledged by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital (approval number: 2020-11-013). The requirement for informed consent was exempted by the IRB because only deidentified data collected during health-screening processes were used.

Metabolic syndrome as an independent variable

Metabolic syndrome was defined following the criteria of NCEP-ATP III, reflecting the waist measurement standard for Koreans. Detailed diagnostic criteria of metabolic syndrome are shown in Table 1.¹²⁾ Following these criteria, subjects with three or more positive index above criteria were determined as metabolic syndrome group.

Paranasal sinusitis as a dependent variable

Brain MRI was performed using a 1.5-tesla scanner (SIG-NA HDxt 1.5T; GE Healthcare, Tokyo, Japan). These images were deciphered by educated radiologists who did not know this research. Incidental paranasal sinusitis was defined as the existence of official readings by radiologists including sinusitis in at least one paranasal sinus.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics of study participants are presented as mean±standard deviation for continuous variables and number (percentage) for categorical variables. Continuous variables were compared using student's t-test. Categorical variables were compared using chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. For continuous variables having abnormal distribution, they were presented as median (IQR) and compared using Mann-Whitney U test. Graphical method (using histogram) was used for determining whether data had a normal distribution.

The association between metabolic syndrome and incidental paranasal sinusitis was then analyzed. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of having incidental paranasal sinusitis were calculated using a multivariable logistic regression analysis. ORs and 95% CIs were adjusted for several steps. Model 1 was adjusted for age and sex. Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, regular exercise, smoking status, and alcohol consumption. In model 3, the final model, white blood cell (WBC), high sensitivity C-reactive peptide (hsCRP), and allergic history were further adjusted in addition to those adjusted in model 2. Individual components of metabolic syndrome diagnostic criteria were also analyzed using multivariable logistic regression analysis. ORs and the 95% CIs were calculated after adjustment. Adjusted variables were the same as above. All statistical analyses were performed using STA-TA version (16.1) (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). *p*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics of study population

Of 2882 patients aged over 18 years (mean age, 51.8 ± 9.47 years; 55.4% male), 353 subjects (232 male and 121 female; mean age, 53.24 ± 10.49 years) were identified to have incidental paranasal sinusitis.

Table 2 shows baseline characteristic of participants, including age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise, systolic and diastolic BP, waist circumference, BMI, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, WBC count, fasting glucose, hemoglobin A1c, TG, C-reactive peptide (CRP), hsCRP, and allergic history. In the paranasal sinusitis group, age and proportion of male were higher compared to those in normal sinus group.

Prevalence comparison of metabolic syndrome and incidental paranasal sinusitis

Table 3 shows prevalence of metabolic syndrome and incidental paranasal sinusitis among total sex, female, and male group. Significant results were seen in total sex group (p=0.029) and female group (p=0.019). In male group, prevalence of metabolic syndrome was seemed high. However, no significant result was seen between metabolic syndrome and incidental paranasal sinusitis (p=0). Also, individual component of metabolic syndrome was analyzed in Table 3.

Association between metabolic syndrome and paranasal sinusitis according to sex

As shown in Table 2, age, sex, smoking status, systolic BP, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, WBC count, CRP, hsCRP, and allergic history are related to the prevalence of paranasal sinusitis. Supplementary Table 1 shows sex differences of baseline characteristics. Table 4 shows the association between metabolic syndrome and paranasal sinusitis. Three models were set according to the step-up adjustment. In multivariable logistic regression analysis, the adjusted OR was 1.77 (95% CI: 1.06-2.94) in model 1, 2.60 (95% CI: 1.47-4.60) in model 2, and 3.03 (95% CI: 1.58-5.83) in model 3 of the female group. These results indicated that higher OR was calculated if there were more adjustment variables in the female group. However, there was no significant tendency in the male group. There was no significant result in the total group either. Furthermore, the interaction p-value by sex were 0.023 and 0.013 in model 2 and model 3 respectively. It is assumed that because there were lots of sex differences of baseline characteristics in Supplementary Table 1, more significant results were elicited after step-up adjustment. In this model, relative excess risk due to interaction was -0.689 (95% CI; -1.747 to 0.370), attributable proportion due to interaction was -0.370 (95% CI; -0.975 to 0.235), and synergy index was 0.556 (95% CI; 0.247-1.249).

Association between individual components of metabolic syndrome diagnostic criteria and paranasal sinusitis in female

In succession, sub-analysis was performed to reveal if there were associations between individual components of metabolic syndrome diagnostic criteria and paranasal sinusitis. There were no significant results in the total sex group or the male group. However, in the female group, some components showed significant associations with paranasal sinusitis.

 Table 1. Diagnostic criteria for the clinical diagnosis of metabolic syndrome

Category	Details
Waist circumference	\geq 90 cm in male, \geq 85 cm in female
BP	\geq 130 mm Hg systolic BP or \geq 85 mm Hg diastolic BP or treatment of previously diagnosed hypertension*
Triglyceride	≥150 mg/dL
HDL cholesterol	<40 mg/dL in male, <50 mg/dL in female
Fasting glucose	$\geq 100 \text{ mg/dL}$ or treatment of previously diagnosed type 2 DM^t

*previously diagnosed hypertension: have been diagnosed with hypertension by a doctor or being treated for hypertension; *previously diagnosed type 2 DM: have been diagnosed with diabetes by a doctor or being treated for diabetes. BP, blood pressure; DM, diabetes mellitus; HDL, high density lipoprotein Table 5 shows associations between individual components of metabolic syndrome diagnostic criteria and incidental paranasal sinusitis in female. The association between BMI and incidental paranasal sinusitis in female was also found. The final adjusted OR was 2.10 (95% CI: 1.20-3.67) in those with low HDL cholesterol (<50 mg/dL) and 1.83 (95% CI: 1.06–

Variable	Overall	Control	PNS	p-value
Number (%)	2882	2529 (87.75)	353 (12.25)	
Age (years)*	51.8±9.47	51.59 ± 9.31	53.24 ± 10.49	0.005
Sex, male*	1597 (55.41)	1365 (53.97)	232 (65.72)	< 0.001
Smoking status*				0.014
Never smoker	1241 (43.06)	1109 (43.85)	132 (37.39)	
Former smoker	764 (26.51)	661 (26.14)	103 (29.18)	
Current smoker	497 (17.24)	419 (16.57)	78 (22.10)	
Unknown	380 (13.19)	340 (13.44)	40 (11.33)	
Alcohol intake (g/day)	6 (1-25)	6 (1-25)	9 (1-30)	0.108
Regular exercise				0.200
<3 times per week	2203 (76.44)	1946 (76.95)	257 (72.80)	
\geq 3 times per week	488 (16.93)	421 (16.65)	67 (18.98)	
Unknown	191 (6.63)	162 (6.41)	29 (8.22)	
SBP (mm Hg)*	112.40±12.92	112.18±12.96	114.00±12.60	0.013
DBP (mm Hg)	72.70±9.61	72.58±9.62	73.57±9.51	0.069
Waist circumference (cm)	83.46±8.91	83.37±8.85	84.14±9.35	0.127
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.98±3.06	23.95 ± 3.05	24.20±3.13	0.151
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)*	198.65±37.71	199.23±37.59	194.54±38.34	0.029
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)*	57.63±15.59	57.92±15.57	55.59 ± 15.59	0.009
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	128.23 ± 34.80	128.35±34.81	127.39 ± 34.82	0.627
WBC (×10 ³ /mm ³)*	5.99 ± 1.63	5.96±1.61	6.19 ± 1.77	0.019
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	99.29±18.51	99.15±18.60	100.33±17.82	0.261
HbA1c (%)	5.75 ± 0.66	5.74±0.65	5.80±0.68	0.085
TG (mg/dL)	102 (73-148)	102 (73-148)	103 (73–145)	0.926
CRP (mg/dL)*	0.05 (0.02-0.11)	0.05 (0.02-0.10)	0.07 (0.03-0.14)	< 0.001
hsCRP (mg/dL)*	0.05 (0.03-0.10)	0.05 (0.03-0.10)	0.06 (0.03-0.13)	0.002
Allergic history*†	312 (10.83)	263 (10.4)	49 (13.88)	0.049

Data are presented as n (%), mean±standard deviation or median (interquartile range). *significant result (p<0.05); *allergic history: allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis, allergic conjunctivitis, food allergy. PNS, paranasal sinusitis; SBP, systolic BP; DBP, diastolic BP; BP, blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; WBC, white blood cell; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; TG, triglyceride; CRP, C-reactive peptide; hsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive peptide

Table 3. Prevalence con	parison of	fmetabolic	syndrome
-------------------------	------------	------------	----------

		Total			Female			Male	
	Control	PNS	p-value	Control	PNS	p-value	Control	PNS	p-value
Metabolic syndrome			0.029*			0.019*			0.706
No	2058 (88.40)	270 (11.60)		1036 (91.28)	99 (8.72)		1022 (85.67)	171 (14.33)	
Yes	471 (85.02)	83 (14.98)		128 (85.33)	22 (14.67)		343 (84.90)	61 (15.10)	
Metabolic syndrome of	component								
Abdominal obesity	725 (86.21)	116 (13.79)	0.029*	257 (90.18)	28 (9.82)	0.760	468 (84.17)	88 (15.83)	0.285
High BP	753 (85.67)	126 (14.33)	0.100	261 (90.31)	28 (9.69)	0.857	492 (83.39)	98 (16.61)	0.071
TG	619 (87.80)	86 (12.20)	0.024*	166 (91.71)	15 (8.29)	0.575	453 (86.45)	71 (13.55)	0.438
HDL	415 (86.82)	63 (13.18)	0.963	225 (87.21)	33 (12.79)	0.038*	190 (86.36)	30 (13.64)	0.686
Fasting glucose	905 (87.02)	135 (12.98)	0.498	279 (89.71)	32 (10.29)	0.545	626 (85.87)	103 (14.13)	0.679

*significant result (p<0.05). PNS, paranasal sinusitis; BP, blood pressure; TG, triglyceride; HDL, high density lipoprotein

3.16) in those with high BMI (\geq 25 kg/m²). However, waist circumference, high BP, TG, and fasting glucose did not show significant correlation with paranasal sinusitis.

Discussion

This large cross-sectional cohort study showed increases of incidental paranasal sinusitis in patients with metabolic syndrome. The association between incidental paranasal sinusitis and metabolic syndrome has been the subject of previous studies. One study showed that the prevalence of paranasal sinusitis was higher in patients with metabolic syndrome, especially in those with a high TG level, reduced high-density lipoprotein level, and elevated BP than in those without metabolic syndrome.¹⁵⁾ However, that study did not consider sex difference. Our study showed associations of paranasal sinusitis with low HDL (<50 mg/dL) and high BMI (\geq 25 kg/m²) in female. However, BP, TG level, and fasting glucose failed to show significant associations with paranasal sinusitis regardless of sex.

Table 4. Association between metabolic syndrome	e and	paranasal	sinusitis
---	-------	-----------	-----------

Subaroup	Mul	tivariable-adjusted OR (95%	CI)
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Total sex (n=2882)			
Non metabolic syndrome group (n=2328)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)
Metabolic syndrome group (n=554)	1.17 (0.89–1.54)	1.25 (0.94–1.66)	1.16 (0.84–1.61)
Female (n=1285)			
Non metabolic syndrome group (n=1135)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)
Metabolic syndrome group (n=150)	1.77 (1.06-2.94)*	2.60 (1.47-4.60)*	3.03 (1.58-5.83)*
Male (n=1597)			
Non metabolic syndrome group (n=1193)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)
Metabolic syndrome group (n=404)	1.04 (0.75–1.43)	1.05 (0.76–1.47)	0.91 (0.62–1.34)
Interaction p-value by sex	0.147	0.023	0.013

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex[†]; Model 2: adjusted for age, sex[†], regular exercise, alcohol intake, smoking status; Model 3: adjusted for age, sex[†], regular exercise, alcohol intake, smoking status, white blood cell, high sensitivity C-reactive peptide, allergic history. *significant odds ratio result; *sex was adjusted only in the total sex group. OR, odd ratio; CI, confidence interval

	///////////////////////////////////////	***************************************	 			/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	 	11/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1	********
	- /		 // ////////////////////////////////////			\ <i>i</i> \ <i>i</i> \ <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i> <i>i</i>	 	11.11.12.1.11.1	
				-	-				

Subaroup	M	ultivariable-adjusted OR (95% C	CI)				
300Groop -	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3				
Waist circumference (\geq 85 cm in fem	Vaist circumference (\geq 85 cm in female)						
Control group	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)				
Case group	1.04 (0.66–1.63)	1.14 (0.68–1.92)	1.02 (0.55–1.86)				
High BP (\geq 130 mm Hg systolic BP or \geq	85 mm Hg diastolic BP)						
Control group	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)				
Case group	0.98 (0.61–1.57)	1.31 (0.77–2.23)	1.69 (0.92–3.10)				
Triglyceride (\geq 150 mg/dL)							
Control group	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)				
Case group	0.83 (0.47–1.46)	1.05 (0.56–1.97)	1.12 (0.54–2.31)				
HDL cholesterol (<50 mg/dL)							
Control group	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)				
Case group	1.54 (1-2.37)*	2.33 (1.43-3.77)*	2.10 (1.20-3.67)*				
Fasting glucose (\geq 100 mg/dL)							
Control group	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)				
Case group	1.11 (0.72-1.71)	1.34 (0.83–2.18)	1.56 (0.90–2.69)				
Obesity (BMI≥25 kg/m²)							
Control group	1 (reference)	1 (reference)	1 (reference)				
Case group	1.43 (0.94–2.19)*	1.91 (1.19-3.06)*	1.83 (1.06-3.16)*				

Model 1: adjusted for age; Model 2: adjusted for age, regular exercise, alcohol intake, smoking status; Model 3: adjusted for age, regular exercise, alcohol intake, smoking status, white blood cell, high sensitivity C-reactive peptide, allergic history. *significant odds ratio result. OR, odd ratio; CI, confidence interval; BP, blood pressure; HDL, high density lipoprotein; BMI, body mass index

Another study has reported a significant positive association between dyslipidemia and paranasal sinusitis in patients with or without nasal polyp (adjusted OR: 1.36, 95% CI: 1.26– 1.47, p<0.001).¹⁶⁾ Both dyslipidemia and paranasal sinusitis are associated with chronic inflammation which has negative effects on the integrity of endothelial cells.¹⁶⁻¹⁸⁾ Dyslipidemia has been reported to be an important factor for oxidative stress causing endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis.^{16,19)} This oxidative stress has been found to be associated with the development of paranasal sinusitis.¹⁹⁾

Kabeya, et al.^{8,9)} have reported that patients with higher BMI are more likely to have paranasal sinus disease, consistent with our finding. They also reported a significant association of patients with diabetes and the prevalence of paranasal sinus disease (adjusted OR: 1.74, 95% CI: 1.12–2.71 in diabetic patients). Dales, et al.¹⁰⁾ have reported a correlation between hypertension and sinusitis in femlae (adjusted OR: 1.42, 95% CI: 1.04–1.95). However, fasting glucose and high BP showed no significant association with paranasal sinusitis in our study. The reason for such differences might be that numbers of patients analyzed in previous studies were relatively small. In addition, most studies had a cross-sectional design. To have more accurate results, a prospective study is needed.

Metabolic syndrome is associated with insulin resistance, adipose tissue dysfunction, dyslipidemia, especially high TG and low LDL levels.¹⁵⁾ This condition might be linked to abnormal levels of inflammatory markers such as cytokines and adipokines. Meanwhile, the correlation between essential hypertension and insulin resistance is already known.²⁰⁾ Therefore, among diagnostic criteria of metabolic syndrome, dyslipidemia, elevated BP, and high fasting glucose level might cause chronic inflammatory conditions such as paranasal sinusitis.

Several hypotheses have been suggested for the development of paranasal sinusitis involving many environmental and host factors. 'Immune barrier hypothesis' is one of pathophysiologic explanations for paranasal sinusitis.²¹⁾ It assumes that chronic inflammation can cause defects in the mechanical barrier or innate immune response, making sinus mucosa become vulnerable to having paranasal sinusitis by microbes. As mentioned above, metabolically unhealthy patients, including those with dyslipidemia, elevated BP, high glucose level could show increased levels of inflammatory cytokines, inflammation-sensitive plasma proteins, and cellular adhesion molecules.^{16,22-24)} Consequently, these proinflammatory host factors could be linked to the development of paranasal sinusitis.

Strength of this study included its large sample size and ran-

domized selection. As all data used in this study were from randomized regular health checkups, they were highly representative without a selection bias. Sex differences were seen in this study. This is not surprising as sex differences are well known for cardiovascular and immune diseases.^{10,25} According to a previous study, female are more likely to have asthma²⁵ or smoking induced lung disease, suggesting that female show increased susceptibility to respiratory diseases.^{10,26-28} Female might also have smaller sinus that could increase the risk of obstruction and paranasal sinus disease. Despite these explanations, further research is necessary to prove why metabolic syndrome and incidental paranasal sinusitis have association only in female.

This study has some limitations. First, paranasal sinusitis was determined according to incidental readings of brain image. Therefore, it might be unclear and controversial that there is the clinical relation of incidental findings detected in brain MRI scanning system. A previous study has shown that there is an association between paranasal sinus abnormality on MRI and symptoms of an upper respiratory infection.²⁹⁾ However, some studies have suggested that there are differences between clinical symptoms and MRI findings.^{30,31)} Further research is needed to reveal the correlation between metabolic syndrome and clinically proved paranasal sinusitis. Secondly, brain MRI deciphering was done by several radiologists. Although they were highly trained experts, observer bias could not be completely excluded. Thirdly, the meaningful adjusted OR results were concluded only in female group.

In conclusion, this study suggests that female with metabolic syndrome, low HDL cholesterol, and high BMI are associated with incidental paranasal sinusitis. As for clinical appliance, otorhinolaryngologists and physicians should keep it in mind that patients with metabolic syndrome might have an increased risk of paranasal sinusitis. At the society level, metabolic syndrome is an increasing trend due to the modern lifestyle. It could lead to excessive healthcare expenditure. Therefore, it is necessary to make preventive effort for reducing the risk of paranasal sinusitis by taking regular sinus endoscopy examination or paranasal sinus X-ray check-up. More prospective studies are necessary to reveal the correlation between metabolic syndrome and paranasal sinusitis.

Supplementary Materials

The Data Supplement is available with this article at https://doi.org/10.3342/kjorl-hns.2022.00500.

Acknowledgments

None

Author Contribution

Conceptualization: In Buhm Lee, Sang Hyuk Lee. Data curation: In Buhm Lee. Formal analysis: In Buhm Lee, Tae Hwan Kim, Jung Yup Lee. Investigation: In Buhm Lee, Kyung Chul Lee. Methodology: In Buhm Lee, Tae Hwan Kim. Project administration: Tae Hwan Kim, Sung Min Jin. Resources: In Buhm Lee, Tae Hwan Kim. Software: Mi Yeon Lee. Supervision: Sang Hyuk Lee. Validation: In Buhm Lee, Tae Hwan Kim, Sang Hyuk Lee. Visualization: In Buhm Lee. Writing—original drift: In Buhm Lee. Writing—review & editing: In Buhm Lee, Tae Hwan Kim, Sang Hyuk Lee.

ORCIDs

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4412-3486
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2254-8857
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3835-144X
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2119-9226
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0823-4245
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4014-2161
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0714-5862

REFERENCES

- Hansen AG, Helvik AS, Nordgård S, Bugten V, Stovner LJ, Håberg AK, et al. Incidental findings in MRI of the paranasal sinuses in adults: A population-based study (HUNT MRI). BMC Ear Nose Throat Disord 2014;14(1):13.
- Børretzen I, Lysdahl KB, Olerud HM. Diagnostic radiology in Norway trends in examination frequency and collective effective dose. Radiat Prot Dosimetry 2007;124(4):339-47.
- 3) Rosenthal PA, Lundy KC, Massoglia DP, Payne EH, Gilbert G, Gebregziabher M. Incidental paranasal sinusitis on routine brain magnetic resonance scans: Association with atherosclerosis. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 2016;6(12):1253-63.
- 4) Lim WK, Ram B, Fasulakis S, Kane KJ. Incidental magnetic resonance image sinus abnormalities in asymptomatic Australian children. J Laryngol Otol 2003;117(12):969-72.
- 5) Pazera P, Bornstein MM, Pazera A, Sendi P, Katsaros C. Incidental maxillary sinus findings in orthodontic patients: A radiographic analysis using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT). Orthod Craniofac Res 2011;14(1):17-24.
- 6) Brüllmann DD, Schmidtmann I, Hornstein S, Schulze RK. Correlation of cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) findings in the maxillary sinus with dental diagnoses: A retrospective crosssectional study. Clin Oral Investig 2012;16(4):1023-9.
- 7) Phothikhun S, Suphanantachat S, Chuenchompoonut V, Nisapakultorn K. Cone-beam computed tomographic evidence of the association between periodontal bone loss and mucosal thickening of the maxillary sinus. J Periodontol 2012;83(5):557-64.
- Kabeya Y, Kato K, Tomita M, Katsuki T, Oikawa Y, Shimada A. Higher body mass index and increased prevalence of paranasal sinus disease. J Epidemiol 2016;26(5):258-63.
- 9) Kabeya Y, Kato K, Tomita M, Katsuki T, Oikawa Y, Shimada A. Association between diabetes and increased prevalence of paranasal sinus disease: A cross-sectional study in Japanese adults. J Epidemiol 2015;25(4):297-302.
- Dales R, Chen Y, Lin M. Chronic sinusitis and arterial hypertension in a national population health survey. Int J Cardiol 2006;107(2):230-4.
- Cornier MA, Dabelea D, Hernandez TL, Lindstrom RC, Steig AJ, Stob NR, et al. The metabolic syndrome. Endocr Rev 2008;29(7):

777-822.

- 12) Yoo H, Kim H, Lee JH, Lee KS, Choi MJ, Song HR, et al. Study on the relevance of metabolic syndrome and incidence of gastric cancer in Korea. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019;16(7):1101.
- 13) Lim S, Shin H, Song JH, Kwak SH, Kang SM, Yoon JW, et al. Increasing prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Korea: The Korean national health and nutrition examination survey for 1998-2007. Diabetes Care 2011;34(6):1323-8.
- 14) Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: A joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation 2009;120(16): 1640-5.
- 15) Lee EJ, Hwang HJ, Jung CM, Kim MK, Kang MS, Kim KS. The relationship between chronic rhinosinusitis and metabolic syndrome. Am J Rhinol Allergy 2017;31(4):222-7.
- 16) Wee JH, Min C, Park MW, Byun SH, Lee HJ, Song CM, et al. Association between dyslipidemia and chronic rhinosinusitis in a Korean population. Diagnostics (Basel) 2020;11(1):26.
- 17) Steven S, Frenis K, Oelze M, Kalinovic S, Kuntic M, Bayo Jimenez MT, et al. Vascular inflammation and oxidative stress: Major triggers for cardiovascular disease. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2019; 2019:7092151.
- Kinlay S, Ganz P. Role of endothelial dysfunction in coronary artery disease and implications for therapy. Am J Cardiol 1997; 80(9):11-6.
- 19) Anderson TJ, Meredith IT, Charbonneau F, Yeung AC, Frei B, Selwyn AP, et al. Endothelium-dependent coronary vasomotion relates to the susceptibility of LDL to oxidation in humans. Circulation 1996;93(9):1647-50.
- 20) Zhou MS, Wang A, Yu H. Link between insulin resistance and hypertension: What is the evidence from evolutionary biology? Diabetol Metab Syndr 2014;6(1):12.
- 21) Lam K, Schleimer R, Kern RC. The etiology and pathogenesis of chronic rhinosinusitis: A review of current hypotheses. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep 2015;15(7):41.
- 22) Stokes KY, Cooper D, Tailor A, Granger DN. Hypercholesterolemia promotes inflammation and microvascular dysfunction: Role of nitric oxide and superoxide. Free Radic Biol Med 2002;33(8):1026-36.
- 23) Al-Shawwa B, Al-Huniti N, Titus G, Abu-Hasan M. Hypercholesterolemia is a potential risk factor for asthma. J Asthma 2006;43(3):231-3.
- 24) Engström G, Lind P, Hedblad B, Stavenow L, Janzon L, Lindgärde F. Effects of cholesterol and inflammation-sensitive plasma proteins on incidence of myocardial infarction and stroke in men. Circulation 2002;105(22):2632-7.
- 25) Witzemann TM, Pardue ML. Exploring the biological contributions to human health: Does sex matter? Washington, DC: National Academies Press;2001.
- 26) Chen Y, Breithaupt K, Muhajarine N. Occurrence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among Canadians and sex-related risk factors. J Clin Epidemiol 2000;53(7):755-61.
- 27) Bone CR, Higgins MW, Hurd SS, Reynolds HY. NHLBI workshop summary. Research needs and opportunities related to respiratory health of women. Am Rev Respir Dis 1992;146(2):528-35.
- 28) Prescott E, Bjerg AM, Andersen PK, Lange P, Vestbo J. Gender difference in smoking effects on lung function and risk of hospitalization for COPD: Results from a Danish longitudinal population study. Eur Respir J 1997;10(4):822-7.
- 29) Cooke LD, Hadley DM. MRI of the paranasal sinuses: Incidental

abnormalities and their relationship to symptoms. J Laryngol Otol 1991;105(4):278-81.

30) Moser FG, Panush D, Rubin JS, Honigsberg RM, Sprayregen S, Eisig SB. Incidental paranasal sinus abnormalities on MRI of the brain. Clin Radiol 1991;43(4):252-4.

 Wani MK, Ruckenstein MJ, Parikh S. Magnetic resonance imaging of the paranasal sinuses: Incidental abnormalities and their relationship to patient symptoms. J Otolaryngol 2001;30(5):257-62.

Supplementary Table 1	Baseline characteristics of	participants
-----------------------	-----------------------------	--------------

Variable	Female	Male	p-value
Number (%)	1285 (44.59)	1597 (55.41)	
Age (years)*	52.43±9.69	51.29±9.27	0.001
Smoking status*			< 0.001
Never smoker	942 (73.31)	299 (18.72)	
Former smoker	56 (4.36)	708 (44.33)	
Current smoker	26 (2.02)	471 (29.49)	
Unknown	261 (20.31)	119 (7.45)	
Alcohol intake (g/day)*	2 (0-4)	15 (4–38)	< 0.001
Regular exercise			0.333
<3 times per week	974 (75.80)	1229 (76.96)	
\geq 3 times per week	216 (16.81)	272 (17.03)	
Unknown	95 (7.39)	96 (6.01)	
SBP (mm Hg)*	108.95±13.22	115.18±11.98	< 0.001
DBP (mm Hg)*	69.05±8.82	75.64±9.21	< 0.001
Waist circumference (cm)	78.80±8.08	87.20±7.70	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.94±3.07	24.81 ± 2.78	< 0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)*	200.13±37.76	197.46±37.64	0.059
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)*	63.99±16.21	52.52±12.99	< 0.001
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	126.80±35.05	129.38±34.58	0.048
WBC (×10 ³ /mm ³)*	5.71 ± 1.54	6.21 ± 1.67	< 0.001
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	95.06±16.04	102.70±19.63	< 0.001
HbAlc(%)	5.69 ± 0.55	5.79 ± 0.72	< 0.001
TG (mg/dL)	87 (63–121)	119 (83–173)	< 0.001
CRP (mg/dL)*	0.04 (0.02-0.10)	0.06 (0.02-0.13)	< 0.001
hsCRP (mg/dL)*	0.04 (0.02–0.09)	0.06 (0.03-0.11)	< 0.001
Allergic history*†	155 (12.06)	157 (9.83)	0.055

Data are presented as n (%), mean±standard deviation or median (interquartile range). *significant odds ratio result; †allergic history: allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis, allergic conjunctivitis, food allergy. SBP, systolic BP; DBP, diastolic BP; BP, blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; WBC, white blood cell; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; TG, triglyceride; CRP, C-reactive peptide; hsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive peptide